Attachment to Independent Case Review Report for CDRU # <u>588</u> Case File # <u>95-281611</u>.

Material Examiner <u>Malone (RO)</u>

Remarks:

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT Independent Review conducted by Cast Myr Levine Area(s) of Expertise. Hass + Fiber Review commenced at: 1: 45ph-(Time), 5 120 199 (Date) File#: 95-281611 Laboratory #(s): 80201101 5 RQ 4D Examiner(s) & Symbols Reviewed' Not Reviewed Reviewed Not Reviewed п α $\mathbf{\Omega}$ a ·ロ. Materials Reviewed michiel P. Malme Trial testimony transcript(s) of 473-530 -Testimony Date(s):_170-dule purche Pages:_ Laboratory Report(s): 6/9/88 Laboratory Number:________80201101 5 RQ.4D Date: Date: Laboratory Number: Date: Laboratory Number: Technicin & Agent Malme Examiner Bench Notes of: Laboratory Number: <u>8020//0/ 5 RQ 4 D</u> Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Page / Initials:

Was any other material reviewed? X Yes D No If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: 1010 grin County of Lee, Sheriff, Ft. b6 b7C Re : **Results of Review** item or Specimen # Reviewed: $Q_1 - Q_36, Q_42 - Q_43$ File#: 95-28/6/1 Q71-Q83 Klankk2 NF9 R13-Q64 Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes: Note: Numbered comments are required below or on odditional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, 1) protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)? O'Yes V No Unable to Determine Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the 2) 🛛 Yes No Unable to Determine bench notes? **Review of Testimony:** Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses D Transcript not available. 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? D Unable to Determine I Yes D Yes Unable to Determine 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? D Unable to Determine 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? D Ye Page J

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed) Micropetrophytometre equite na Peview anno In my opinim, it is unexcusible [ĪIJ HIS mor <u>99</u> (Date) . 51201 Review completed at: To Of Oh (Time). w filers. -Total-time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): _____ <u>NS:</u> I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of pages. (Signature) (Date Page <u>3</u> of Initials <u>C</u>

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable) 95-281611 File # The cel Republic IN the Report are not supported equitely documented in the bench notes The technoles state V hawwas Exanale The examiner nites pegarlin In. Qlar. ht Jdk. Sulve. nim, stife. in RICARIA Ken INTO 2 ANA. to OCCURR 2 hurs > YAOARS E they were one. anu tes of the technician a niner are NOT IN agreeme here is no information in the case file as to RW. pilyester Fibers the identification was make o IN the case. Notes do not su meltin print a even mansappic exam. dres not continual equite a nSUR CUMUTA Conclusion that these Fibers are in Page 4 of 7 Initials: Cl

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

95-28161 File #: 3) The examine in this case testifys as to the Recuil ougen of these hirs - the report does not mention Ricul oun (although the notes dr). This is not a myou nsistence but it calle within this question me disturbing time is the rederence to the use of mps. 493 & transcript menspectrophytometer Le Swow Estima Which is in the party nuch there is no amentin in heremburts a g I Row the micuspictrophitmeteron west stolement to by the above description is alle L again bus a Reference on IMPATITIO "they were chracteristics MICANCARIC. austin mic Chiracteristics. cho comprise dave by microsp This opining, which is expressed unleverth is Scientic dicumentation

4) Examiner Malore states that he examined both cut and pullet havis in Kismple. The technicion roles state that a Reprisentative somple was minuted on 4 sliles. Reviewer connet confirm a Repute this testimony based

Page 5 of F Initials:

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable) File#: 95-281611 y cmt: trumentita on the accompany here are no notes assu three Q66. N The DANA Sub mille examine Swan Jeitiminy kes wha Swan testimory Regarder ne. ins ain. 178. iss not his 15 TIPHIA Page _ 6 of 7. Initials: <u>Cll</u>

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable) File# 95-281611 Re: accurer of neas Car questin STIMMIN 6 CAN M II 2 ONI Ôl ĺΝ. 8. A Pma 10 nni <u>.</u> Page 7 of 7 Initials: _